Efficient Transaction Processing in Byzantine Fault Tolerant Environments
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Abstract

The overarching goal of any state-of-the-art blockchain application [5] is ensuring the integrity of the client transactions. A blockchain in its simplest form is a linked-list, which helps to maintain a stable and reliable storage of client transactions. Blockchain has acted as a resolve to challenges in food production [7], energy trading [22], managing health care [4, 9, 16], and insurance fraud prevention [14]. The key reasons behind widespread interest in blockchain systems are their fundamental characteristics: transparency, integrity and decentralization.

A blockchain supports transparency and decentralization, by employing the core database principle of replication. Each blockchain is maintained by several replicas. These replicas need to reach an agreement on the order of client transactions, which is resolved by employing a consensus protocol. Thus, at the core of any blockchain system is its underlying consensus protocol. Initial blockchain applications, such as Bitcoin [20] and Ethereum [25] encourage a permissionless setting through the use the Proof-of-Work [10, 15] (PoW) protocol to attain consensus. PoW requires each replica to spend its resources in finding a solution for some hard cryptographic puzzle, which acts as a proof that the replica has generated the next block. Hence all the replicas reach a consensus when at least one replica transmits its proof to the majority of replicas. However, PoW protocol can lead to the case where multiple replicas can claim to have generated the proof, which could create a fork in the blockchain.
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Further, these permissionless protocols need to provide replicas with financial incentives to maximize non-malicious participation. These requirements necessitated the design of permissioned systems [1, 10], which build on top of the proven consensus protocols as the identities of the replicas are known apriori. We realize this goal of a safe and efficient, permissioned blockchain system through our design of ExpoDB framework. ExpoDB provides an in-memory distributed transactional framework that allows implementing and evaluating different concurrency control and agreement protocols. Our past experience with ExpoDB includes: (i) design of an efficient concurrency control protocol, QueCC [23], (ii) design of a fast non-blocking two-phase agreement protocol, EasyCommit [11], (iii) design of topology-aware geo-scale agreement protocol, GeoScale EasyCommit [12], and (iv) implementation of scalable storage layer, LStore [24]. These protocols, although exciting, do not meet the requirements of a practical blockchain application. Hence we extend the ExpoDB framework and set out goals for designing efficient blockchain systems.

Our current design of ExpoDB includes implementation of several byzantine fault-tolerant consensus algorithms, such as PBFT [6], Zyzzyva [18], RBFT [2], PoW [15], and Algorand [8]. ExpoDB also implements two popular blockchain systems, Hyperledger Fabric [1] and RapidChain [26]. Note that our focus is mainly in the design of efficient byzantine fault-tolerant (BFT) consensus protocols. These protocols differ from the Paxos-style [19, 21] consensus protocols as they allow replicas to be malicious.

The existence of malicious replicas influences the design of underlying consensus protocol, which in turn affects the performance of the system. Prior works [6, 18] have noted the expensive costs associated with the BFT consensus protocols and have advocated for efficient future designs. We tackle this cost trade-off by envisioning a manifold design. ExpoDB customizes each replica by associating with it an elaborate parallel pipeline architecture. These parallel pipelines allow us to process multiple batches of client transactions, in parallel, without comprising on linearizability [13]. Further, we employ efficient cryptographic constructs [3]: (i) to digitally sign the message (256-bit ED25519 [17]) between clients and replicas, (ii) to ensure integrity of the message (128-bit CMAC-AES) between replicas, and (iii) to authenticate the message contents using hashing (SHA256).

The use of efficient pipelines and constructs can only boost the system performance to a limited extent. The key component still affecting the system throughput is the underlying consensus protocol. Although the PBFT [6] protocol is the first to design of a practical BFT consensus, it requires three phases of which two require quadratic communication. One of the most noteworthy improvement over PBFT is Zyzzyva [18], which requires only linear communication through speculative execution. However, its safety and liveness is dependent on existence of good clients.

We believe there is ample opportunity to develop efficient BFT protocols that work in fewer phases than PBFT and do not face the limitations of Zyzzyva. Further, the existing BFT designs do not discuss the challenges of a geographically large setup, where replicas are spread across continents and latencies are the
major concerns. Existing blockchain applications also assume existence of a single chain, which is maintained across all the replicas. Although this chain helps to ensure a single order, it restricts parallelism and requires massive storage. We believe through the use of sharding, a sharded-chain can help scaling the blockchain systems.
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